

PI

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 02 September 2014 20:23  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 141149

Comment for Planning Application 141149

Name : David and Karen Hainsworth

Address : 3 Hillcrest courtyard

peterculter

ab13 Opp

Telephone : [REDACTED]

Email : [REDACTED]

type :

Comment : Date: 1st September 2014

Dear Sir,

We would like to object to the planning application no.141149 for the following reasons, 1. This is a development in the greenbelt and policy 28 states that development is only permitted for essential purposes. Removal of the occupancy clause would permit the residential property to be occupied by anyone, not someone with an essential rural occupation.

2. It is a very large residential building and garages, which will be extremely prominent in the landscape. From the historical maps it is clear there has been no buildings on this site in the past, 3. There has been no development at the site in respect of the infrastructure of the stud farm since the planning permission was granted, ie no paddocks, no fencing, no planting of grass or stabling .In fact the area has been completely untended. Condition 2 of the conditional planning permission is that all the infrastructure of the stud is completed and brought into use before any construction of the dwelling house or garage. Despite the great urgency of housing the stud farm when the original planning permission was sought, there appears to be no progress in placing the stud farm at Baads.

4. We are concerned that there could be future applications for an essential residential dwelling associated with the stud farm business on the same site. Alternatively the business could move to another green field site and apply for essential residential buildings there. This all leads to erosion of the green belt.

5. This is the second application to amend the planning permission for this site, a previous application was refused, I don't believe that the reasons stated in this second application materially change the conclusion from the previous planning application.

Granting of this revision to the existing planning permission would set a precedent, which would lead to more building and more destruction of the greenbelt around Aberdeen.

David Hainsworth

Karen Hainsworth

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its